Solar Neutrino Solutions in Non-Abelian Flavor Symmetry

Morimitsu Tanimoto

Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata, JAPAN

(Talk at ICHEP2000, July 27-August 2, 2000, Osaka, Japan)

We have studied the large mixing angle MSW solution for the solar neutrinos in the non-abelian flavor symmetry. We predict the MNS mixing matrix taking account of the symmetry breakings.

1 LMA-MSW Solution in Non-Abelian Flavor Symmetry

Recent data in S-Kam favor the large mixing angle MSW (LMA-MSW) solution. How does one get the LMA-MSW solution as well as the maximal mixing of the atmospheric neutrinos in theory? It is not easy to reproduce the nearly bi-maximal mixings with LMA-MSW solution in GUT models[1, 2, 3].

The non-abelian flavor symmetry $S_{3L} \times S_{3R}$ or $O_{3L} \times O_{3R}$ leads to the LMA-MSW solution naturally[4, 5]. The mass matrices are

$$M_E \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ M_{
u} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orthogonal matrix diagonalizes M_E is

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & -2/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

The MNS mixing matrix is given as $U_{\nu} \simeq F^{T}$, and so we predict $\sin^{2} 2\theta_{\odot} = 1$ and $\sin^{2} 2\theta_{\rm atm} = 8/9$ in the symmetric limit.

In this talk, we discuss masses and flavor mixings of quarks/leptons in the non-abelian flavor symmetry with the SU(5) GUT[4]. We consider $O(3)_{5^*} \times O(3)_{10} \times Z_6$ symmetry. Our scenario for fermion masses is

- Neutrinos have degenerate masses.
- Quarks/charged-leptons are massless.
- Symmetry breakings give Δm^2 and other fermion masses.

$$2~O(3)_{5^*}\times O(3)_{10}\times Z_6~Symmetry$$

Quarks and leptons belong to $\mathbf{5}^*$ and $\mathbf{10}$ of the SU(5) GUT and $\mathbf{3}$ of the O(3) symmetry. Higgs

 $H(\overline{H})$ belong to $\mathbf{5}$ ($\mathbf{5}^*$) of the SU(5) and $\mathbf{1}$ of the O(3). Then, neutrinos have the $O(3)_{\mathbf{5}^*} \times O(3)_{\mathbf{10}}$ invariant mass term

$$\frac{\langle H \rangle^2}{\Lambda} \nu_L \nu_L \ . \tag{2}$$

The Z_6 symmetry forbids $\psi_{10}(3)\psi_{10}(3)H$, which gives degenerate up-quark masses[4].

The flavor symmetry is broken explicitly by $\Sigma_{\mathbf{5}^*}^{(i)}(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}), \ \Sigma_{\mathbf{10}}^{(i)}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}) \ (i = 1, 2),$ which transform as the symmetric traceless tensor **5**'s of O(3). Dimentionless breaking parameters are given as

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{\Sigma_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*}^{(1)}}{M_f} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*},$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*}^{(2)} \equiv \frac{\Sigma_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*}^{(2)}}{M_f} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{10}, \ \mathbf{5}^*}.$$

Neutrinos get Majorana masses from a superpotential

$$W = \frac{H^2}{\Lambda} \ell (\mathbf{1} + \alpha_i \sigma_{5^*}^{(i)}) \ell , \qquad (3)$$

which yields a diagonal neutrino mass matrix. In order to get the charged lepton masses, we introduce $O(3)_{\mathbf{5}^*}$ -triplet $\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{1})$ and $O(3)_{\mathbf{10}}$ -triplet $\phi_{\mathbf{10}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3})$. These VEV's are determined by the superpotential

$$W = Z_{\mathbf{5}^*}(\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}^2 - 3v_{\mathbf{5}^*}^2) + Z_{\mathbf{10}}(\phi_{\mathbf{10}}^2 - 3v_{\mathbf{10}}^2) + X_{\mathbf{5}^*}(a_{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}\sigma_{\mathbf{5}^*}^{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}) + X_{\mathbf{10}}(a'_{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{10}}\sigma_{\mathbf{10}}^{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{10}}) + Y_{\mathbf{5}^*}(b_{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}\sigma_{\mathbf{5}^*}^{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}) + Y_{\mathbf{10}}(b'_{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{10}}\sigma_{\mathbf{10}}^{(i)}\phi_{\mathbf{10}})$$

where $Z_{10, 5^*}$, $X_{10, 5^*}$, $Y_{10, 5^*}$ are all singlets of $O(3)_{5^*} \times O(3)_{10}$. Minimizing the potential, we get

$$<\phi_{\mathbf{5}^*}> \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} v_{\mathbf{5}^*}, \ <\phi_{\mathbf{10}}> \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} v_{\mathbf{10}}.$$

Masses of charged leptons arise from a superpotential

$$W = \frac{\kappa_E}{M_f^2} (\overline{e}\phi_{10}) (\phi_{5^*} \ell) \overline{H}, \tag{4}$$

which is the realization of "Democratic Mass Matrix",

$$M_E \propto \left(\frac{v_{\mathbf{5}^*}v_{\mathbf{10}}}{M_f^2}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

Adding the superpotential containing the flavor symmetry breaking parameters $\sigma_{\mathbf{5}^*, \mathbf{10}}^{(i)}$, we get the charged lepton mass matrix:

$$M_E^H \equiv F^T M_E F = \kappa_E \left(\frac{v_{\mathbf{5}^*} v_{\mathbf{10}}}{M_f^2} \right) < \overline{H} >$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*} \epsilon_{\mathbf{10}} & \epsilon_{\mathbf{10}} \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} & \epsilon_{\mathbf{10}} \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*} \delta_{\mathbf{10}} & \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} \delta_{\mathbf{10}} & \delta_{\mathbf{10}} \\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*} & \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(6)$$

in which order one coefficients are omitted. The mass ratios are given as

$$\frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\delta_{\mathbf{5}^*}\delta_{\mathbf{10}}), \quad \frac{m_e}{m_{\tau}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*}\epsilon_{\mathbf{10}}).$$

The quark/lepton masses and mixings fix

$$\delta_{\mathbf{10}} \simeq \lambda^2, \ \epsilon_{\mathbf{10}} \simeq \lambda^3 \sim \lambda^4, \ \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} \simeq \lambda, \ \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*} \simeq \lambda^2.$$

3 Neutrino Masses and Mixings

Neutrino masses are given as

$$\begin{split} m_1 &\simeq & c_{\mu}(1 + \alpha_1 \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} + \alpha_2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*}), \\ m_2 &\simeq & c_{\mu}(1 + \alpha_1 \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} - \alpha_2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*}), \\ m_3 &\simeq & c_{\mu}(1 - 2\alpha_1 \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*}), \quad c_{\mu} = \frac{\langle H \rangle^2}{\Lambda} \end{split}$$

which leads to (with $\delta_{\mathbf{5}^*} \simeq \lambda$, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*} \simeq \lambda^2$)

$$\left|\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2}\right| = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha_2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*}}{\alpha_1 \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*}} \frac{1 + \alpha_2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{5}^*}}{1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \delta_{\mathbf{5}^*}} \simeq \lambda^2 \sim \lambda.$$

Putting $\Delta m^2_{32} = 3 \times 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2$, we predict $\Delta m^2_{21} \simeq ({\rm factor}) \times 10^{-4} {\rm eV}^2$, which is consistent with the LMA-MSW solution. Flavor mixings come from the charge lepton mass matrix since the neutrino one is diagonal. The charged lepton mass matrix is diagonalized by $V^\dagger_R M^H_E V_L$, in which

$$V_L^{\dagger} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 \\ -\lambda & 1 & \lambda \\ -\lambda^2 & -\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

The neutrino mixing matrix is given by $V_L^{\dagger} F^T$. We predict

$$\sin^{2} 2\theta_{\odot} = (1 - \frac{4}{3}\lambda^{2})^{2} \simeq 0.87$$

$$\sin^{2} 2\theta_{\text{atm}} = \frac{8}{9}(1 - \lambda^{2})(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda - 2\lambda^{2})^{2}$$

$$\simeq 0.95$$

$$|U_{e3}| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda) \simeq 0.14.$$
 (8)

4 Summary

It is remarked that:

- The solar neutrino mixing $\sin^2 2\theta_{\odot}$ deviates from the maximal mixing (~ 0.87).
- The atmospheric neutrino mixing $\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm}$ deviates from 8/9 depending phase of λ .
- \bullet U_{e3} is near to the experimental bound of CHOOZ (<0.16) .

Neutrino masses are degenerated within a factor 2. For example, we get $m_1 \simeq 0.030 \,\mathrm{eV}, \ m_2 \simeq 0.033 \,\mathrm{eV}, \ m_3 \simeq 0.058 \,\mathrm{eV},$ which is consistent with $\beta \beta_{0\nu}$ decay bound.

References

- [1] Y. Nomura and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Rev.* D**59**, 017303 (2000).
- [2] Y. Nomura and T. Sugimoto, Phys. Rev. D61, 093003 (2000).
- [3] C.H. Albright and S.M. Barr, *Phys. Lett.* B461, 218 (2000).
- [4] M.Tanimoto, T.Watari and T.Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B461, 345 (1999).
- [5] M. Tanimoto, *Phys. Lett.* B483, 417 (2000).